Subject: RE: PAF PROJECTS
From: "rick pieschel" <rick.pieschel@undp.org>
Date: Thu, 21 Oct 2004 14:22:22 -0400
To: "'Enriqueta Baquero'" <enriqueta.baquero@undp.org>
CC: "'Valerie Cliff'" <valerie.cliff@undp.org>, "'Carolina Teran'" <carolina.teran@undp.org>, "'Fernando Pachano'" <fernando.pachano@undp.org>

I don't believe this would be necessary. Development projects that do not require a formal ProDoc signed by the government (i.e. PAF, DSS, DSS) are basically DEX by definition and should not require special clearance.

From: Enriqueta Baquero [mailto:enriqueta.baquero@undp.org]
Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2004 12:55 PM
To: Rick Pieschel
Cc: Valerie Cliff; Carolina Teran; Fernando Pachano
Subject: PAF PROJECTS

Dear Rick,
Reference is made to the above mentioned subject. In this respect, Ecuador CO has been assigned an amount of $ 75,000 and we are in the process of entering the project in ATLAS. However, we need to clarify some doubts.

According to a message dated 1/09/2004 from Valerie Cliff, COs should make sure that our Resident Coordinators are aware of these resources because the responsibility for managing UNAIDS PAF resources lies with the UN Res Coordinators. In our specific case, there is a thematic group composed by UN system agencies (WHO, UNICEF, UNIFEM, WFP, UNCHR and UNDP) which is the highest instance of the project that requested UNDP's administrative support for all operations.

We are clear on the COA to be used for this kind of funds. Nevertheless, according to our understanding the Implementation modality would be "DEX" and the authorized signature would lie on the Res. Coordinator.

If we are correct, kindly advice if it is necessary to submit a formal request to RBLAC in order to be authorized to execute the above mentioned DEX project.

Best regards,

Enriqueta
ECUADOR CO.